

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE  
DIVISION

IN RE GOOGLE PLUS PROFILE LITIGATION

CIVIL ACTION No. 5:18-CV-06164 (VKD)

**OBJECTION**


RECEIVED  
OCT 19 2020  
SUSAN Y. SOONG  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE

Steven F. Helfand has reviewed the class notice. He is a member of this class action settlement. Nobody can or has credibly claimed otherwise. HELFAND requests 10 minutes to address the Court at the scheduled Zoom hearing.

1. The notice is ineffectual so as to get claims. More information is required. The take rate must be disclosed. How many people are participating? The notice is inadequate in light of COVID-19. All deadlines must be extended. COVID-19 prevented me from meeting this deadline.
2. The attorneys fees are too much for too little actual work.
3. The attorneys fees are plainly too much, whether lodestar or multiplier driven.
4. The release is over-broad.
5. The settlement is unfair, unreasonable and inadequate.
6. The class representative should get nothing. The entire settlement is questionable. Class counsel is obligated to apply for a fee award even though such awards are not lawful pursuant to binding circuit precedent. *Johnson v. NPAS Sols. LLC*, 2020 U.S. App. LEXIS 29682, 28 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. C 1915, \_\_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_\_, 2020 WL 5553312. This provision is obviously the result of a contractual provision between Class Counsel and the named



Steven Helfand  
1400 SW 137th Ave Apt 112  
Pembroke Pines, FL 33027-6941



MIAMI FL 330  
16 OCT 2020 PM 4 L

US District Court, ND California  
280 S. 1<sup>st</sup> Street, 2nd FL.  
San Jose, CA 95113

95113-909599

